29. Berzin, I.S. and Zhidkov, N. P. Calculation Methods, Moscow, Fizmatgiz, Vol. 2, 1960.

Translated by M.D.F.

## SPECTRUM OF THE SYSTEM DESCRIBING OSCILLATIONS

OF A SHELL OF REVOLUTION
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The relationship between spectra of moment and momentless [membrane] systems of differential equations which describe the characteristic oscillations of shells of revolution is examined.

For the eigenvalues of the lower series the oscillation theorem is proven. Conditions are found for which the lower series of frequencies of the momentless system has a finite limit point.

A number of papers are devoted to finding the frequencies of characteristic oscillations of a thin shell by the small parameter method (see Bibliography).

In this paper some mathematical problems are examined which are connected with the problem of finding the characteristic frequencies for a shell of revolution. In this case the characteristic oscillations with $m$ waves along the parallel are described by the following system of equations $[1,5]$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
-u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\left(B^{\prime}\right.}{B} u^{\prime}-\frac{m(1+\sigma)}{2 B} v^{\prime}-\left[\left(\frac{B^{\prime}}{B}\right)^{\prime}+(1-\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{m^{2}}{2 B^{2}}\right)\right] u+ \\
+\frac{m B^{\prime}}{B^{2}} \frac{3-\sigma}{2} v+\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{\sigma}{R_{2}}\right) w^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)^{\prime} w=\lambda u \\
-\frac{1-\sigma}{2} v^{\prime \prime}+\frac{m}{B} \frac{(1+\sigma)}{2} u^{\prime}-\frac{1-\sigma}{2} \frac{B^{\prime}}{B} v^{\prime}+\frac{m B^{\prime}}{B^{2}} \frac{3-\sigma}{2} u-\quad(0  \tag{0.1}\\
-\left[\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\left(\frac{B^{\prime}}{B}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1-\sigma}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{m^{2}}{B^{2}}\right] v-\frac{m}{B}\left(\frac{\sigma}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right) w=\lambda v \\
\mu^{4} \frac{1}{B}\left(\frac{d}{d s} B \frac{d}{d s}-\frac{m^{2}}{B}\right) \frac{1}{B}\left(\frac{d}{d s} B \frac{d}{d s}-\frac{m^{2}}{B}\right) w-\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{\sigma}{R_{2}}\right) \frac{d u}{d s}- \\
-\left(\frac{\sigma}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right) \frac{B^{\prime}}{B} u-\frac{m}{B}\left(\frac{\sigma}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right) v+\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 s}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}^{2}}\right) w=\lambda w
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $u, v, w$ are the projections of the displacement of a point on the directions of the meridian, the parallel and the normal to the shell, respectively; $s$ is the length of the meridian arc, $a \leqslant s \leqslant b ; B(s)$ is the distance from the meridian to the axis of revolution; $R_{1}(s)$ and $R_{2}(s)$ are the principal radii of curvature of the shell

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R_{1}}=-\frac{B^{n}}{\sqrt{1-B^{\prime 2}}}, \quad \frac{1}{R_{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{1-B^{\prime 2}}}{B}, \quad \lambda=\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) \frac{\gamma}{E} p^{2}, \quad \mu^{4}=\frac{h^{2}}{12} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is Young's modulus, $\sigma$ is Poisson's ratio, $\hat{\gamma}$ is the density, $p$ is the frequency of oscillations, $h$ is the thickness of the shell and $\mu$ is the small parameter. The system
( 0.1 ) will be examined for the following boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=u(b)=v(a)=v(b)=w(a)=w(b)=w^{\prime}(a)=w^{\prime}(b)=0 \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to rigid fixing of the edges of the shell along two parallels.
If we introduce into the analysis the vector function $f(s)=(u(s), v(s), w(s))$, we write the system (0.1) in the abbreviated form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mu} f=\lambda f \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For boundary conditions ( 0.3 ) the operator $L_{\mu}$ is self-adjoint and positive definite if the scalar product is determined from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} B(s)\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}+w_{1} w_{2}\right) d s \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum of the operator $L_{\mu}$ for $\mu \neq 0$ is discrete and its eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$ ( $\mu$ ) are positive. In this connection $\lim \lambda_{k}(\mu)=\infty$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. The eigenvectors $f_{k}(s, \mu)$ $(k=1,2, \ldots)$ are orthogonal for any arbitrary vector function $g(s)$. The following expansion (*) which converges in metric ( 0,4 ) is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}(\mu) f_{k}(s, \mu) \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set $\mu=0$ in ( 0.4 ). Then a degenerate (momentless) operator $L_{0}$ arises and is self-adjoint if in ( 0.3 ) the boundary conditions imposed on $w(s)$ are removed. In fact, it is easy to verify that

$$
\left(L_{0} f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\left(f_{1}, L_{0} f_{2}\right)
$$

for any $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, which satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=u(b)=v(a)=v(b)=0 \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the operator $L_{0}$ is positive definite. For any real $f$, which satisfies the conditions ( 0.7 ), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(L_{0} f, f\right)=\int_{a}^{b} B(s)\left\{\sigma\left[u^{\prime}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{B} u+\frac{m}{B} v+\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right) w\right]^{2}+\right. \\
+(1-\sigma)\left(u^{\prime}+\frac{w}{R_{1}}\right)^{2}+(1-\sigma)\left(\frac{B^{\prime}}{B} u+\frac{m}{B} v+\frac{w}{R_{2}}\right)^{2}+ \\
\left.+\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\left(-\frac{m}{B} u+v^{\prime}-\frac{R^{\prime}}{B} v\right)^{2}\right\} d s \geqslant 0
\end{gathered}
$$

The spectrum of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} f=\lambda f \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

now will not be purely discrete. In Sect. 2 it is proven that the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ of values of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(s)=\frac{1-\sigma^{2}}{R_{z^{2}}(s)} \quad(a \leqslant s \leqslant b) \tag{0.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(*) If $g(s)$ is a smooth vector function satisfying conditions ( 0.3 ), then the series ( 0.6 ) converges uniformly in $s \in[a, b]$.
belongs to the continuous spectrum of the problem (0.8),(0.7) [4-6]. Outside the interval $u, \beta$ the spectrum is discrete. The ends of the interval, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can be points of concentration for the eigenvalues of the operator $L_{0}$. for small $\alpha$ and large $\beta$. Assuming for definiteness that $\lambda=0$ will not be a point of the spectrum (*) of the operator $L_{0}$, it is possible to show (the proof is presented in the Appendix) the strong convergence of operator $L_{i}^{-1}$ to $L_{0}^{-1}$ for $\mu \rightarrow 0$. This means that for any vector function $g(s)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(L_{\mu}^{-1}-L_{0}^{-1}\right) g\right\|=0, \quad\|g\|=(g, g)^{1 / 2} \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the scalar product $(g, g)$ is determined according to Eq. $(0.5)$. On the basis of a theorem in the general theory of perturbations [7] the indicated situation leads to a strong convergence of the spectral function of the operator $L_{\mu}$ to the spectral function of the momentless (degenerate) operator $L_{0}$. For details the reader is referred to monograph [7]. However, the conclusion drawn here will be clarified through the following important corollary which is based on this conclusion. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be an isolated eigenvalue of the operator $L_{0}$ (for simplicity single) and $f_{0}(s)$ the corresponding eigenfunction. Let $\varepsilon$ be so small that in the interval $\Delta_{\varepsilon}\left[\lambda_{0}-\varepsilon, \lambda_{0}+\varepsilon\right]$ there are no eigenvalues of $L_{0}$, other than $\lambda_{0}$.
Also let

$$
g_{\mu}^{*}(s)=\sum_{\lambda_{k}(\mu)} c_{k}(\mu) f_{k}(s, \mu) \quad\left(\lambda_{k}(\mu) \in \Delta_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

be the interval of expansion of $g(s)$ in the series ( 0.6 ) corresponding to those eigenvalues $\dot{\lambda}_{k}(\mu)$, which belong to $\Delta_{\varepsilon}$ (for $\mu \rightarrow 0$ their number can increase (!)). Furthermore we have always

$$
\lim \left\|g_{\mu}^{*}(s)-\left(g, f_{0}\right) f_{0}(s)\right\|=0
$$

In particular, for $g(s)=f_{0}(s)$ the indicated interval of the Fourier series tends in the mean square to $f_{0}(s)$.

It is clear that the above mentioned fact does not contain complete information on transformation of eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}(\mu)$ into the spectrum of operator $L_{0}$. This problem requires special examination. We note, however, that for eigenvalues of problem ( 0.7 ) ( 0.8 which are smaller than $\alpha=\inf P_{1}(s)$ (see ( 0.9 )) the conditions of regular degeneracy are satisfied in the sense given by the authors of [8]. For these $\lambda_{k}(\mu)$ it is therefore possible to write the following asymptotic equations in analogy to the way it was handled in [9] in the axisymmetric case

$$
\lambda_{h}(\mu)=\lambda_{h}^{0}+\mu \lambda_{h}^{\prime}+o(\mu)
$$

This paper is devoted to the investigation of the spectrum of the momentless system ( 0.7 ), ( 0.8 ). A large part of theorems given below is a generalization of results obtained earlier for the case of axisymmetric oscillations and presented in [6,9].

In Sect. 3 the oscillation theorem is proven for the system (0.7), (0.8). In Sect. 4 the sufficient conditions are found for which the first series of frequencies (the least) is infinite.
(") Otherwise $L_{\mu}$ and $L_{0}$ should be replaced by $L_{\mu}+x$ and $L_{0}+x$ respectively, with $x>0$.

1. The Cauchy problem for the momentiess system. In ( 0.1 ) we set $\mu=0$.Introducing the vector $y=(u, v)$ we rewrite the first two equations of system ( 0.1 ) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} y^{\prime \prime}+A_{1} y^{\prime}+A_{2} y-\lambda A_{3} y=A_{4} d+A_{5} e \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d$ and $e$ are vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(w^{\prime}, 0\right), e=(w, w) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through $A_{k}(s)(k=0,1, \ldots, 5)$ we denote matrices of the second order. The elements of these matrices can be easily reconstructed from system ( 0.1 ). In particular

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0  \tag{1.3}\\
0 & -1 / 2(1-\sigma)
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{4}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{1}^{-1}+R_{2}^{-1} \sigma & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The third equation of system ( 0.1 ) for $\mu=0$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-b_{0} u^{\prime}-b_{1} u-b_{2} v+\left(\varphi_{2}(s)-\lambda\right) u=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have introduced the well-understood designations for coefficients. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}=R_{1}^{-1}+\sigma R_{2}^{-1}, \quad \varphi_{2}(s)=R_{1}^{-2}+2 J R_{1}^{-1} R_{2}^{-1}+R_{2}^{-2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\varphi_{2}(s)-\varphi_{1}(s)=\left(R_{1}^{-1}+\sigma R_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2} \geqslant 0$. The function $\varphi_{1}(s)$ is determined by Eq. $(0.9)$. The interval of values $T_{1}(s)$ is denoted by $[\alpha, \beta]$, The interval of values $\varphi_{2}(s)$ for $a \leqslant s \leqslant b$ will be $[\gamma, \delta]$. Assuming that the coefficients of the system ( 0.1 ) are piecewise-continuous, we prove the following statement.

Lemma 1.1. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{1}$ be arbitrary real numbers and $s_{0} \in[a, b]$. Then for $\lambda \bar{F}[\alpha, \beta]$ and $\lambda \neq \varphi_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$ there exists a unique solution $f(s, \lambda)=(u(s, \lambda)$, $v(s, \lambda), w(s, \lambda))$ of the system ( 0.8 ) which satisfies Cauchy's conditiors(*)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)=r_{1}, \quad v\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)=r_{2}, \quad u^{\prime}\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)=r_{3}, \quad v^{\prime}\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)=r_{4} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector function $f(s, \lambda)$ is analytic for all complex $\lambda \equiv[\alpha, \beta]$ and $\lambda \neq \varphi_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$.
Proof. We express $u$ and $v$ from system (1.1) through $w$ and substitute the result into (1.4). We obtain the Volterra equation with respect to $w(s, \lambda)$, which allows the proof of the lemma. System (1.1) written in the abbreviated form is

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{\lambda} y=p  \tag{1.7}\\
Y(s, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{1} & Y_{2} \\
Y_{1}^{\prime} & Y_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let
be a fundamental matrix of the dimension $4 \times 4$ composed of solutions of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{\lambda} y=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix also satisfies the condition $Y\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)=E_{4}$ ( $E_{4}$ is a unit matrix). Let

$$
Z(s, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{1} & Z_{2}  \tag{1.10}\\
Z_{3} & Z_{s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the inverse matrix of $(s, \lambda)$. Both matrices (1. 8 ) and ( 1.10 ) will be entire functions of $\lambda$. It is easy to verify that the solution $y(s, \lambda)$ of the system (1.7) can be represented in the following form:
(*) We recall that system ( 0.8 ) is obtained from system ( 0.1 ) for $\mu=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(s, \lambda)=y_{0}(s, \lambda)+\int_{s_{0}}^{B} C(s, t) A_{0}^{-1} p(l) d t \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution satisfies conditions (1.6). In (1.11) $y_{0}(s, \lambda)$ is the solution of the homogeneous system (1.9). This solution also satisfies conditions (1.6). Through $\mathcal{C}(s, t)$ we denote Cauchy's kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(s, t)=Y_{1}^{\prime}(s) Z_{2}(t)+Y_{2}(s) Z_{4}(t) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the right side of (1.11) we take the integral containing $w^{\prime}$ by parts. We have (1.2), (1.3), (1. 5).
$\int_{s_{0}}^{s} C(s, t) A_{0}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{4}(t) d(t) d t=\int_{0_{0}}^{0} C(s, t)\binom{b_{0} w^{\prime}}{0} d t=-Y_{2}(s) b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)\binom{w\left(s_{0}\right)}{0}-\int_{s_{0}}^{s} K(s, t)\binom{w(t)}{0} d t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\delta, t)=\left[\left(Y_{1}(s) Z_{2}(t)+Y_{2}(s) Z_{4}(t)\right) b_{0}(t)\right]_{t^{\prime}} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this connection the obvious relationships $C(s, s)=0, C\left(s, s_{0}\right)=Y_{2}(s)$ were used. Thus we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(s, \lambda)=y_{0}(s, \lambda)-Y_{2}(s) b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)\binom{w\left(s_{0}\right)}{0}-\int_{s_{0}}^{3} K(s, t)\binom{w(t)}{0} \cdot d t+ \\
+\int_{s_{0}}^{\text {Is }} C(s, t) A_{0}^{-1} A_{5}(t)\binom{w(t)}{w(t)} \cdot d t \tag{1.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiating this identity, we find
$y^{\prime}(s, \lambda)=y_{0}{ }^{\prime}(s, \lambda)-Y_{2^{\prime}}(s) b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)\binom{w\left(s_{0}\right)}{0}-K(s, s)\binom{w(s)}{0}+\int_{s_{0}}^{s} T(s, t)\binom{w(t)}{w(t)} d t$
Here $T(s, t)$ denotes a kernel which is continuous with respect to $s$ and $t$, and whole with respect to $\lambda$. We now find $\Lambda(s, s)$. By virtue of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}(s) Z_{2}(s)+Y_{2}(s) Z_{1}(s)=0 \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have for $K(s, s)$ from (1.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s, s)=\left[Y_{1}(s) Z_{2}^{\prime}(s)+Y_{2}(s) Z_{4}^{\prime}(s)\right] b_{0}(s) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (1.17) and taking advantage of the fact that $Y_{1}{ }^{\prime}(s) Z_{2}(s)+Y_{2}{ }^{\prime}(s) Z_{4}(s)=$ $=E_{2}$ and using (1.8) and (1.10), we find easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s, s)=-b_{0}(s) E_{2} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (1.15), (1.16) it follows now that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(s, \lambda)=u_{0}(s, \lambda)-u_{1}(s, \lambda) b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) w\left(s_{0}\right)+\int_{s_{0}}^{s} K_{1}(s, t) w(t) d t \\
& v(s, \lambda)=v_{0}(s, \lambda)-v_{1}(s, \lambda) b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) w\left(s_{0}\right)+\int_{0_{0}}^{s} K_{2}(s, t) w(t) d t \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
u^{\prime}(s, \lambda)=u_{0}^{\prime}(s, \lambda)-u_{1}^{\prime}(s, \lambda) b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) w\left(s_{0}\right)+\sigma_{0}(s) w(s)+\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{s}} K_{s}(s, t) w(t) d t
$$

Here ( $u_{1}, v_{1}$ ) are solutions of the one-dimensional system ( 1.7 ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=v_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=v_{1}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=0, \quad u_{1}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=1 \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$ are ker nels which are continuous with respect to $s$ and $t$ and complete with respect to $\lambda$ : Substituting $u, v u^{\prime}$ from (1.20) into (1.4), we obtain the following equation with respect to $w(s, \lambda)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{2}(s)-\lambda\right) w(s, \lambda)+\int_{s_{0}}^{s} K_{4}(s, t) w(i, \lambda) d t=r(s, \lambda) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
r(s, \lambda)= & b_{0}(s) u_{0}^{\prime}(s)+b_{1}(s) u_{0}(s)+b_{2}(s) v_{0}(s)- \\
& -\left[b_{0}(s) u_{1}^{\prime}(s)+b_{1}(s) u_{1}(s)+b_{2}(s) v_{1}(s)\right] b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) w\left(s_{0}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(s_{0}\right)=\frac{b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) r_{3}+b_{1}\left(s_{0}\right) r_{1}+b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) r_{2}}{\varphi_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)-\lambda} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.24) is obtained by substituting $s=s_{0}$ in (1.22). Under the assumptions made with respect to $\lambda_{p} E q_{0}(1.22)$ always has a unique solution which is analytic in $\lambda$, Therefore, substituting the quantity $w(s, \lambda)$ found in this manner, into ( 1.20 ), we determine the solution for the Cauchy problem. The latter is apparently unique. The proof of the lemma is complete.

Note 1.1. If $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) r_{3}+b_{1}\left(s_{0}\right) r_{1}+b_{2}\left(s_{0}\right) r_{2}=0 \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

the solution of the Cauchy problem is regular also for $\lambda=\varphi_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$.
Note 1.2. If the values $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ are such that (1.25) is satisfied, the Cauchy problem for $\lambda=\varphi_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$ and conditions (1.6) has a unique solution, if additionally an arbitrary value is given for $w\left(s_{0}\right)$.
2. Nature of the spectrum in the momentless case, Lemma 2.1. The spectrum of the boundary value problem $(0.8),(0.7)$ is real and nonnegative. The entire interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ consists of points of the spectrum. Outside the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ the spectrum is discrete (it consists of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity). The limit points of the discrete spectrum is $\lambda=+\infty$ and, perhaps, the end points of the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. Let us examine the inhomogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} f-\lambda f=h \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $h=\left(h_{1}(s), h_{2}(s), h_{3}(s)\right)$ is an arbitrary vector function, which is integrable in the square; $f=(u, v, w)$ is the unknown vector function which satisfies the conditions ( 0.7 ). The system (2.1) can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{\lambda} y=p+h^{*} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
-b_{0}(s) u^{\prime}-b_{1}(s) u-b_{2}(s) v+\left(\varphi_{2}(s)-\lambda\right) w=h_{3}
$$

where the operator $l_{\lambda}$ and vector $p$ are the same as in (1.7) and $h^{*}=\left(h_{1}, h_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. Let $G(s, t, \lambda)$ be the Green function of operator $l_{\lambda}$ for boundary conditions ( 0.7 ), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\int_{a}^{b} G(s, t, \lambda)\left[p(t)+h^{*}(t)\right] d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that

$$
G(s, t, \lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{rcc}
Y_{1}(s) Z_{2}(t) & \text { for } & t \leqslant s  \tag{2.4}\\
-Y_{2}(s) Z_{4}(t) & \text { for } & t \geqslant s
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote by $Y_{1}(s)$ and $Y_{2}(s) 2 \times 2$ matrices which satisfy the equation $i_{2}(y)=0$ and the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}(b, \lambda)=0, \quad Y_{1}^{\prime}(b, \lambda)=E_{2}, \quad Y_{2}(a, \lambda)=0, \quad Y_{2}^{\prime}(a, \lambda)=E_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $Z_{2}$ and $Z_{4}$ we denote blocks of matrix $Z(t, \lambda)$, which is inverse to

$$
Y(t, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Y_{1} & Y_{2} \\
Y_{1}^{\prime} & Y_{2^{\prime}}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix $Z(i, \lambda)$ is meromorphic, its poles are points of the spectrum of the operator $l_{\lambda}$. They are positive and tend to $+\infty$.

Integrating in ( 2.3 ) the term containing $w^{\prime}$ by parts and substituting the expression for $\mu$ and $v$ obtained in this manner into the second equation (2.2), we obtain in analogy to (1.22)
$\left\{\varphi_{2}(s)-\lambda\right) w(s)+\int_{a}^{b} Q_{1}(s, t, \lambda) w(t) d t=\int_{a}^{b} Q_{2}(s, t, \lambda) h_{1}(t) d t+\int_{a}^{b} Q_{3}(s, t, \lambda) h_{2}(t) d t+h_{3}(s)$.
Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}(s, t, \lambda) \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are functions which are continuous with respect to $s$ and $t$ and meromorphic with respect to $\lambda$ with poles at the points of the spectrum of the operator $l_{\lambda}$.
In this manner Eq. (2.6) is boundedly solvable (*) outside of these poles for any right side $h$ if and only if Eq. (2.1) is boundedly solvable and consequently the spectra of Eqs. (2.1) and (2.6) coincide outside the poles $G(s, t, \lambda)$. Utilizing theorems of functional analysis [10]. it is easy to show that the spectrum of Eq. (2.6) consists of the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ and a desorete set.
The reality of the spectrum follows from the self-adjoint property of the operator $f_{\mathrm{on}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ The nonnegativity follows from the condition $L_{0} \geqslant 0$.The fact that $\lambda=-\infty$ is always the limit point of the spectrum of the operator $L_{v}$, and can easily be established by the examination of the asymptotics of solutions of system ( 0.8 ) when $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$. Lemma 2.1 is proven.

Let us examine more closely the discrete spectrum of operator $L_{0}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}(s, \lambda), \quad f^{(2)}(s, \lambda) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]be two solutions of $\mathrm{Eq}_{0}(0,8)$ which satisfy Cauchy's initial conditions
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}(a, \lambda)=0, & v_{1}(a, \lambda)=0, & u_{1}^{\prime}(a, \lambda)=1, \\
u_{2}(a, \lambda)=0, & v_{1}^{\prime}(a, \lambda)=0  \tag{2.9}\\
u_{2}(a, \lambda)=0, & u_{2}^{\prime}(a, \lambda)=0, & v_{2}^{\prime}(a, \lambda)=1
\end{array}
$$
\]

for all

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \equiv[\alpha, \beta], \quad \lambda \neq \varphi_{2}(a) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into consideration Lemma 1.1, it is easy to show that the discrete spectrum of the operator $L_{0}$ on the set $(2,10)$ coincides with the zeros of the function

$$
\Delta(b, \lambda)=\operatorname{Dct}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}(b, \lambda) & u_{2}(b, \lambda)  \tag{2.11}\\
v_{1}(b, \lambda) & v_{2}(b, \lambda)
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is analytic on the set $(2.10)$ and has a pole perhaps only at the point $\lambda=T_{2}(a)$
We note in conclusion that all eigenvalues which satisfy condition (2.10) can be no more than twofold eigenvalues because by virtue of Lemma 1.1 each eigenfunction $f\left(s, \lambda_{k}\right)$ is a linear combination of solutions (2.8) with $\lambda=\lambda_{k}$. The point $\lambda=\varphi_{2}(a)$ can be no more than a threefold eigenvalue (Note 1.2 to Lemma 1.1).
3. Osclliation theorem. Let us examine the zeros of the function

$$
\Delta(s, \lambda)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}(s, \lambda) & u_{2}(s, \lambda)  \tag{3.1}\\
v_{1}(s, \lambda) & v_{2}(s, \lambda)
\end{array}\right) \quad(a \leqslant s \leqslant b)
$$

where $u_{i}(s, \lambda)$ and $v_{i}(s, \lambda)$ are components of solutions (2.8).
Lemma 3.1. For fixed $a<s_{0} \leqslant b$ the zeros of the function $\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)$ in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \equiv[a, \beta], \quad \lambda \neq \varphi_{2}(a) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are no more than double valued. In other words if $\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=0$ and $(\partial / \partial \lambda) \times$ $\times \Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=0$, then $\left(\partial^{2} / \partial \lambda^{2}\right) \Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \neq 0$. In this connection the multiplicity of $\lambda_{0}$ as a root of equation $\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)$ coincides with the multiplicity of the eigenvalue of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} f=\lambda f \quad\left(a \leqslant s \leqslant s_{0}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=u\left(s_{0}\right)=v(a)=v\left(s_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We present only the idea of the proof. The fact that zeros of the function $\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)$ are eigenvalues of the problem (3.3), (3.4) is obvious. Through infinitely small perturbation of the lowest terms (even those not containing derivatives) of the equation $L_{0} f=\lambda f$ it is possible to make all zeros of $\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)$ simple in the vicinity of the point $\lambda_{0}$. According to Rouche's theorem the number of these zeros is equal to the multiplicity of the root $\lambda_{0}$ of the equation $\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)=0$.In this case it is easy to select the perturbation so that all eigenvalues of the boundary value problem also become simple. According to well-known perturbation theory the number of eigenvalues arising in this manner is equal to the multiplicity of $\lambda_{0}$, as eigenvalue. Lemma 3.1 follows from this. The following statement is also valid.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be a single root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the region (3.2). Then in the vicinity of the point $s=s_{0}$ the equation $\Delta(s, \lambda)=$ $=0$ determines one differentiable function $\lambda=\lambda(s)\left(\lambda\left(s_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0}\right)$. In this connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \lambda}{d s}\right|_{s=s_{0}}=-B\left(s_{0}\right)\left\{\frac{\varphi_{1}\left(s_{n}\right)-\lambda_{1}}{\varphi_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)-\lambda_{0}} u_{0}^{2}\left(s_{0}\right)+\frac{1-\sigma}{2} v_{0}^{2}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f_{0}(s)=\left(u_{0}(s), v_{0}(s), w_{0}(s)\right)$ is the eigenvector of the problem (3.3),(3.4) normalized by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} B(s)\left(u_{0}^{2}+v_{0}^{2}+w_{0}^{2}\right) d s=1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda_{0}=q_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$ the first term in braces (3.6) should be replaced by zero.
Note 3.1. If $\lambda_{0}$ is a double root of Eq. (3.5), then equation $\Delta(s, \lambda)=0$ determines two differentiable functions $\lambda_{1}(s)$ and $\lambda_{2}(s)$ such that $\lambda_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=\lambda_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0}$.Equation (3.6) is valid for derivatives $d \lambda_{1} / d s$ and $d \lambda_{2} / d s_{v}$ In the right side of this equation two eigenvectors of problem (3.3),(3.4) appear. These eigenvectors are orthogonal to each other and correspond to $\lambda=\lambda_{00}$.

Proof. Together with problem (3.3),(3.4) let us examine the "perturbed" problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}(z) f(z)=\lambda f(z) \quad\left(a \leqslant z \leqslant s_{0}+\varepsilon\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the conditions at the ends of the interval $\left[a, \varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon\right]$ are assumed to be the same as in (3.4), $\varepsilon$ is the small parameter. We take advantage of the fact that roots of the equation $\Delta\left(s_{0}+\varepsilon, \lambda\right)=0$ represent eigenvalues of problem (3.8). Derivatives of eigenvalues of this problem with respect to parameter $\varepsilon \cdot c a n$ be found by means of equations of the perturbation theory of linear operators. We make the following substitution into (3.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\kappa+\varepsilon \frac{s-a}{s_{n}-a} \quad\left(a \leqslant s \leqslant s_{i}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result the operator $L_{0}(z)$ in (3.8) can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}(z)=L_{0}(s)+\varepsilon L^{(1)}(s)+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \quad\left(a \leqslant s \leqslant s_{0}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to known theorems of perturbation theory [7] eigenvalues of the boundary value problem (3.8) are differentiable functions of $\varepsilon$, and the following equation is valid (*)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \lambda}{d e}\right|_{e=0}=\left(L^{(1)} f_{n_{1}}, f_{0}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{0}(s)$ is the normalized eigenvector of the operator $L_{0}(s)\left(a \leqslant s \leqslant s_{0}\right)$. Equation (3.11) is valid also in the case when $\lambda_{0}$ is a double valued point of the spectrum. It is valid for both functions $\lambda_{k}(\varepsilon)(k=1,2)$. For calculation of the right side of (3.11) it is useful to make the substitution ( 3.9 ) in the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}(z) f_{0}(z)=\lambda_{0} f_{0}(z) \quad\left(a \leqslant z \leqslant s_{0}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the relationship obtained in this manner, we separate terms of the first order in $\varepsilon$, and find that

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{(1)}(s) f_{0}(s)+L_{0}(s)\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(s) \frac{s-a}{s_{0}-a}\right)=\lambda_{0} f_{0}^{\prime}(s) \frac{s-a}{s_{0}-a} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

From here according to (3.11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d i}{d \varepsilon}_{\varepsilon=0}=-\left(L_{0}(s) g, f_{0}\right)+i_{0}\left(g, f_{0}\right) \quad g(s)=f_{0}^{\prime}(s) \frac{s-a}{s_{0}-a} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that

$$
\lambda_{0}\left(g, f_{0}\right)=\left(g, L_{0}(s) f_{0}\right)
$$

Since $g$ ( $s$ ) does not satisfy boundary conditions (3.4) only on the right end of the interval $\left[a, s_{0}\right]$ then in the right side of $(3.14)$ on integration by parts of the first term, only the terms outside the integral are preserved for $s=s_{0}$. Turning to the explicit expressions in the left side of $(0.1)$, it is easy to find that those contributions are different from zero which are obtained through integration by parts of the following expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{a}^{\theta_{0}} B(s) \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{1}{B} \frac{d\left(B g_{1}\right)}{d s}\right) u_{0}(s) d s \\
&-\int_{a}^{\theta_{0}} \frac{1-\sigma}{2} B \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{1}{B} \frac{d}{d s}\left(B g_{2}\right)\right) v_{0}(s) d s \\
&-\int_{a}^{s_{0}} B(s)\left(R_{1}^{-1}(s)+\sigma R_{2}^{-1}\right) \frac{d g_{1}}{d s} w_{0}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding these expressions. we obtain

$$
\left.\frac{d \lambda}{d \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=-B\left(s_{0}\right)\left(u_{0}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)+\frac{1-\sigma}{2} v_{0}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)-u_{0}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) b_{0}\left(s_{0}\right) u_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Here we substitute $w_{0}(s)$ from Eq. (1.4). For the condition $\lambda_{0} \neq \tau_{2}$ ( $s_{0}$ ) we obtain Eq. (3.6). However, if $\lambda_{0}=\varphi_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$, then $u_{0}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=0$ and only the second term is preserved in braces (3.6). Lemma 3.2 is proven.

Lemma 3. 3. Let $\lambda \equiv[\alpha, \delta]$.Then all roots of Eq. (3.16) in the half-interval ( $a, b$ ] of variation of $s$ with decreasing $\lambda$ are displaced to the right.

Proof. We note that if $\lambda \in[\alpha, \delta]$ then in $E q_{0}(3,6)$ both terms are nonnegative. By virtue of Lemma 1.1 at least one of the terms is different from zero. Therefore for the condition $\lambda E[\alpha, \delta]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda / d s<0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, in some sufficiently small two-dimensional neighborhood of the point $\left(s_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ all points $(s, \lambda)$, which satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(s, \lambda)=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

form maps of no more than two smooth monotonically decreasing functions of $\lambda(s)$. The inverse quantities $s(\lambda)$ of these functions are continuous and decreasing. This leads to the proof of Lemma 3.3.

We note in passing that for fixed $\lambda<0$ Eq. (3.16) does not have any roots at all in the half-interval $s \in(a, b]$. This follows from the positive definiteness of the operator (3.3) for any $a<s_{0} \leqslant b$. In conclusion we shall amplify our considerations about zeros of Eq. (3.16) through the following remark.

Lemma 3.4. All zeros of Eq. (3.16) for fixed $\lambda E[\alpha, \delta]$ are no more than double valued. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(s_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=0, \quad \partial \Delta / \partial s\left(s_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{2} / \partial s^{2} \Delta\left(s_{0}, \quad \lambda_{0}\right) \neq 0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this connection a double valued point of the spectrum of problem (3.3), (3.4) corresponds to a double root $s_{0}$.

Proof. If (3.17) is satisfied, then it follows from the obvious equality $\Delta_{s}{ }^{\prime}+\Delta_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$. $\cdot \lambda_{B}^{\prime}=0$ that $\Delta_{\lambda}^{\prime}=0$ if we take into account that $\lambda_{B}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Since $\Delta_{, \lambda} \neq 0$ (Lemma 3.1), the negative values of both derivatives in (3.6) coincide with roots of the quadratic equation $\Delta_{s s^{\prime \prime}}+2 \Delta_{s \lambda}^{\prime \prime} \xi+\Delta_{\lambda \lambda}^{\prime \prime} \xi^{2}=0$. Equation (3.18) follows from this.

Let us renumber the eigenvalues of problem ( 0.8 ), ( 0.7 ), which are less than $\alpha$ [6], in increasing order taking into account the multiplicity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}{ }_{0}^{(1)} \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{(1)} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{k}^{(1)} \leqslant \ldots \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{\mathbb{L}}(\lambda)$ be the number of zeros of function $\Delta(s, \lambda)$ for fixed $\lambda$ in the half-interval ( $a, b$ ). The following oscillation theorem is the result of analyses performed above.

Theorem 3.1. a) The number of eigenvalues of problem ( 0.8 ), ( 0.7 ) which do not exceed $\lambda$, is equal to $n_{1}(\lambda)(\lambda<\alpha)$.
b) The first series is infinite if an only if

$$
\sup _{\lambda<\alpha} n_{1}(\lambda)=\infty
$$

c) $\lambda_{k}^{(1)}$ is a multiple eigenvalue if and only if $s=b$ is a double zero of function $\Delta(s$, $\left.\left.\lambda_{k}^{(1)}\right) \mathrm{d}\right)$ If $\lambda_{k}^{(i)}$ is a simple eigenvalue, then in the interval $(a, b)$ function: $\Delta\left(s, \lambda_{k}^{(1)}\right)$ has exactly $k$ zeros taking into account the multiplicities.
4. Conditions for infinity of the first series, In this section we will point out the conditions placed on $B(s)$, for which the problem $(0.8),(0.7)$ leads to an infinite series of eigenvalues less than $\alpha_{\text {, }}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\inf \varphi_{1}(s) \quad(s \in[a, b]) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding theorems are generalizations of conditions given in the axisymmetric case [6,9].

Expressing $w(s)$ in the third equation of system ( 0.1 ) through $u, v$ and $u$ and substituting it into the first two, we arrive at a system of two equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1} u^{\prime \prime}+C_{2} u^{\prime}+C_{3} v^{\prime}+C_{4} u+C_{5} v=0  \tag{4.2}\\
& D_{1} v^{\prime \prime}+D_{2} u^{\prime}+D_{3} v^{\prime}+D_{4} u+D_{5} v=0
\end{align*}
$$

Here $C_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ are functions of $s$ and $\lambda$, The explicit expressions for these functions have not been presented so far. It follows from the oscillation theorem that the first series can be infinite only if for $\lambda=\alpha$ the system (4.2) has a solution with an infinite number of zeros. This situation does not contradict the uniqueness theorem because for $\lambda=\alpha$ the system (4.2) has a singularity. It is actually easy to check that

$$
C_{1}(s ; \alpha)=B(s) \frac{\varphi_{1}(s)-\alpha}{\varphi_{2}(s)-\alpha}
$$

Therefore the points $s_{0}$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=\alpha \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are singular.
Let us examine the asymptotics of solutions of system (4.2) in the vicinity of the
singular point $s_{0}$. We note that all functions $C_{i}$ and $D_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, 5$ ) are smooth functions of $s$ and that $D_{1}(s, \alpha) \neq 0$. If $s_{0}$ is the end of the interval $[a, b]$ and $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then it is easy to show that solutions of system (4.2) have only a finite number of zeros. Infinite oscillation is possible only for the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by virtue of $(4,1)$ always occurs when $s_{0}$ is an inside point of the interval $\{a, b \mid$. We recall that $\varphi_{1}(s)=(1-\sigma)^{2} B^{-2} .\left(1-B^{\prime 2}\right)$. Let
$B(s)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\left(s-s_{0}\right)+1 / 2 \beta_{2}\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{2}+1 / 6 \beta_{3}\left(s .-s_{0}\right)^{3}+\ldots$
Here we have introduced obvious notation for derivatives of function $B(s)$ at the point $s_{0}$. We note that $\left|\beta_{1}\right|=\left|B^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant 1$.For $\varphi_{1}(s)$ we have the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(s)=\frac{1-\sigma^{2}}{\beta_{0}^{2}}\left\{\omega_{0}+\omega_{1}\left(s-s_{0}\right)+1 / 2 \omega_{2}\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{2}+\ldots\right\} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{0}=1-\beta_{1}^{2}, \quad \omega_{1}=-2 \beta_{1}\left(\beta_{2}+\frac{1-\beta_{1}^{2}}{\beta_{0}}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
\omega_{2}=2\left[-\beta_{2}^{2}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{0}}\left(1-5 \beta_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{3\left(1-\beta_{1}^{2}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}}{\beta_{0}^{2}}-\beta_{1} \beta_{3}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

In this manner the condition (4.4) is satisfied in two cases

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { a) } \beta_{1}=0  \tag{4.8}\\
\text { b) } \beta_{2}+\left(1-\beta_{1}^{2}\right) / \beta_{0}=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

which we will examine separately. At present let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2} \neq 0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coefficients of system (4.2) are expanded in powers of $s-s_{0}=t$ in the vicinity of the point $s_{0}$. As a result we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(a_{1} t^{2}+o\left(t^{2}\right)\right) u^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 a_{1} t+o(t)\right) u^{\prime}+\left(a_{3}+o(1)\right) u+ \\
+\left(b_{1}+o(1)\right) v^{\prime}+\left(b_{2}+o(1)\right) v=0  \tag{4.11}\\
\left(-b_{1}+o(1)\right) u^{\prime}+\left(c_{2}+o(1)\right) u+d_{1} v^{\prime \prime}+\left(d_{2}+o(1)\right) v^{\prime}+ \\
+\left(d_{3}+o(1)\right) v=0, \quad t=s-s_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

Expressions for coefficients of Taylor expansions are presented below. Substituting $u=$ $=y_{1}, v=y_{2}, u_{1}^{\prime}=1 / t\left(y_{3}\right)$, and $v_{l}^{\prime}=y_{4}$ the system (4.11) is reduced to a system of four first order equations. The system is written directly in the matrix-vector form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{t} \Omega_{0}+\Omega_{1}+o(1)\right) y \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)$ is the unknown column vector $\Omega_{0}, \Omega_{1}$ are constant matrices. In this case

$$
\Omega_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0  \tag{4.13}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-a_{3} / a_{1} & -b_{2} / a_{1} & -1 & -b_{1} / a_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 2 b_{1} /(1-\sigma) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Del}\left(\Omega_{0}-\mu E_{4}\right)=\mu^{2}\left\{\mu^{2}+\mu+\frac{2 b_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}(1-\sigma)}+\frac{a_{3}}{a_{1}}\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}=\mu_{4}=0$ be the roots of the characteristic polynomial (4.14). The

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { corresponding eigenvectors of the matrix } \Omega_{0} \text { have the form } \\
& f^{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
\mu_{1} \\
2 b_{1} \\
1-\sigma
\end{array}\right), f(2)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
b_{1} \\
0 \\
\frac{\mu_{2}}{1-\sigma}
\end{array}\right), f(3)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
-a_{8}
\end{array}\right), f(4)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
0 \\
-b_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show that the system (4.12) has four linear independent solutions of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y^{(1)}(t)=l^{\mu_{1}} f^{(1)}(1+o(1)), & y^{(2)}(t)=t^{\mu} f^{(2)}(1+o(1)), \quad y^{(3)}(t)=f^{(3)}+o(1) \\
y^{(4)}(t)=f^{(4)}+o(1) \tag{4.16}
\end{array}
$$

Infinite oscillation in the vicinity of the point $s=s_{0}$ is possible only for the condition where the roots $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ of the polynomial (4.14) are nonreal. The corresponding condition has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{1}\left(b_{1}^{2} / d_{1}+a_{3}\right)<0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall prove the following proposition.
Theorem 4.1. Let at some points $=s_{0} \varphi_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=\alpha>0$ and the condition (a) $B^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=$ Obe satisfied (4.8). We set

$$
B(s)=B_{0}-\frac{k}{2}\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{2}+o\left(\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<k B_{0}<1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
9\left(k B_{0}\right)^{2}+(12 \sigma-1) k B_{0}+4 \sigma^{2}+8 m^{2}\left(1-k B_{0}\right)>0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a$ is the limit point of the discrete spectrum of the problem ( 0.8 ), ( 0.7 ) (the first series is infinite).

Note 4.1. Here $k=\left|B^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right|$ is the curvature of the meridian at the point $s=$ $=s_{0}$ The inequality (4.18) indicates that for $s=s_{0}$ the abscissa of the meridian reaches a maximum and the center of curvature lies on the other side of the axis of rotation (Fig. 1).


Fig. 1.

Note 4.2. For $\sigma>1 / 24$ the condition (4.19) is superfluous.
Proof. Relationship (4.10) is valid for conditions (4.8) and (4.18)(see (4.7)). For the coefficients of Taylor expansions in (4.11) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) k\left(1-k B_{0}\right)}{B_{0}\left(B_{0} k+\sigma\right)^{2}} \neq 0 \\
a_{3}=-\frac{m^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}}{2 B_{0}^{2}}+\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) \frac{2 B_{0} k+\sigma}{B_{0}^{2}\left(B_{0} k+\sigma\right)} \quad(4.20)  \tag{4.20}\\
b_{1}=\frac{m(1-\sigma)}{2 / B_{c}\left(l_{0} k \div \sigma\right)}\left(B_{0} k-\sigma-2\right) \neq 0, \quad d_{1}=\frac{1-5}{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this case $b_{2}, c_{2}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are some constants which are unessential to the problem under consideration. It is easy to verify that the condition of oscillation (4.17) takes the form

$$
-\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-k B_{0}\right) k}{B_{0}^{3}\left(B_{0} k+\sigma\right)^{4}}\left\{9\left(k B_{0}\right)^{2}+(12 s-1) k B_{0}+4 s^{2}+8 m^{2}\left(1-k B_{0}\right)\right\}<0
$$

As a consequence of (4.18) this is equivalent to the requirement (4.19). Therefore Eq. (4.14) has two nonreal roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\bar{\mu}_{2}=-1 / 2+i \beta, \quad \beta \neq 0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume for simplicity of presentation that $s_{0} \neq a$ and that in the half-interval $\left[a, s_{0}\right.$ ) the system (4.2) does not have any singular points (*) $C_{1}(s, \alpha) \neq 0$ for $a \leqslant$ $\leqslant s<s_{0}$. Let the matrix

$$
Y(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{1}(s) & Y_{2}(s)  \tag{4.22}\\
Y_{s}(s) & Y_{1}(s)
\end{array}\right), \quad s \in\left[a, s_{0}\right)
$$

be composed of solutions (4.16). It is evident that $Y(a)$ is nondegenerate. We write

$$
Y^{-1}(a)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

Through $\Delta(s)$ we denote the upper right minor of the matrix $Y(s) Y^{-1}(a)$. It is easy to verify that for $s \rightarrow s_{0}-0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(s)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{\mu_{1}}\left(b_{11} d_{22}-b_{12} d_{21}\right) b_{1}\right]+o(1) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b_{i j}$ and $d_{i j}$ are elements of matrices $B$ and $D$, and $b_{1} \neq 0$ as in (4.20). If now

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{11} d_{22}-b_{12} d_{21} \neq 0 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then in the left half-neighborhood of point $s_{0}$ the function $\Delta(s)$ has infinite number of zeros.

Let $N$ be an arbitrary integer. Let us select $\delta>0$ so small that the function $\Delta(s)$ will have $N$ zeros in the half-interval $\left[a, s_{0}-\delta\right)$.Then we select a $\lambda<\alpha$ so close to $\alpha$, that the function $\Delta .(s, \lambda)$ which was introduced by Eq.(3.1), for the system (4.2) will have $N$ zeros in the half-interval $\left[a, s_{0}-\delta\right)$ This can be done on the basis of the theorem on continuous dependence of the solution of the Cauchy problem on the parameter $\lambda$. Since $N$ is arbitrary, the first series is infinite according to Theorem 3.1 and the proof for Theorem 4.1 is complete.

It remains to be noted that condition (4.24) can be discarded. It is actually possible to show that an arbitrarily small perturbation of system ( 0.1 ) always exists such that in the perturbed system the condition (4.24) will be satisfied. This condition is realized with the aid of the positive definite matrix which is equal to zero outside the right $\varepsilon$ -half-neighborhood of the point $a$. Consequently, the perturbed system will have an infinite first series. Since the indicated perturbation increases the eigenvalues, it is established by the same token that the unperturbed initial problem also has an infinite first series.

The following proposition is also valid.
Theorem 4.2. Let at some point $s=s_{0} \varphi_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=a>0$ and let condition (b) be satisfied (4.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2} \beta_{0}+1-\beta_{1}{ }^{2}=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{z}$ are coefficients of the Taylor expansion for the function $B(s)$ in the vicinity $s=s_{0}$ as in (4. 5.).
(*) The reader can easily extend tne proof presented below to the general case.

Let also (*)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}=\frac{\beta_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}-\beta_{0} \beta_{2} \beta_{3}}{\beta_{0}} \neq 0 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $a$ is the limit point of the discrete spectrum of problem $(0,7),(0.8)$.
Proof. It was already mentioned that for condition (4.25) $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=0$ (see (4.7)). In this connection it can be verified that Taylor's coefficients in system (4.11) have the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=\frac{1-\sigma}{2(1+\sigma)} \frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{0}} \\
a_{3}=(1-\sigma)\left[(2+\sigma) \frac{\omega_{0}}{\left.\beta_{0}^{2}+\frac{\omega_{2}}{2(1+\sigma) \omega_{n}}\right]-\frac{(1-\sigma) m^{2}}{2 \beta_{0}^{2}}}\right.  \tag{4.27}\\
b_{1}=-\frac{m(1-\sigma)}{2 \beta_{0}}, \quad 1=\frac{1-\sigma}{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substitution of these expressions into (4.17) leads to the inequality

$$
\frac{1-\sigma}{1+\sigma} \frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{0}}\left[-4(1-\sigma)(2+\sigma) \frac{\omega_{n}}{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\frac{\omega_{2}}{2 \omega_{0}} \frac{3(1-\sigma)}{1+\sigma}\right]<0
$$

which is always satisfied by virtue of inequality $\omega_{2}>0$. The proof is carried out in the same manner as in Theorem 4.1.

Let us explain that for conditions (4.25) and $\omega_{2}>0$ the plot of the meridian in the vicinity of the point $s=s_{0}$ has the form represented in Fig. 2 (we assume that $0<B^{\prime}$


Fig. ${ }^{2}$ $\left.\left(s_{0}\right)<1\right)$. The dashed line indicates the adjoining circle. For condition (4.25) $R_{1}=R_{2}$, i. e, the corresponding point is umbilical. In conclusion we note the following fact.

Theorem 4.3. Let for some $s=s_{0}$ function $\varphi_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=\alpha>0$, let $p>1$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{1}(s)=\varphi_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)+ \\
+\frac{\varphi_{1}^{(2 p)}\left(s_{n}\right)}{(2 p)!}\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{2 p}+o\left(\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{2 p}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{(2 p)}\left(s_{0}\right)>0 \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha$ is the limit point of eigenvalues. The proof of this fact must be omitted due to lack of space.

Appendix, Proof of Eq. (0.10). Let us denote through $\mathrm{L}_{2}(a, b)$ the Hilbert space of vector function $g(s)=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$ with scalar product ( 0.5 ) and let $L_{2}(a, b)$ be a space of scalar functions with an integrable square. For fixed $g \in L_{L_{2}}(a, b)$ we introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\mu)=\left(L_{\mu}^{-1} g, g\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu_{0}>0$, then we have
$\frac{\Delta F_{F}}{\Delta \mu}=\frac{1}{\Delta \mu}\left(\left(L_{i \mu_{0}+\Delta \mu}^{-1}-L_{\mu_{0}}^{-1}\right) g, g\right)=-\frac{1}{\Delta \mu}\left(L_{\mu_{0}+\Delta \mu}^{-1}\left(L_{\mu_{0}}-L_{\mu_{0}+\Delta \mu}\right) L_{\mu_{0}}^{-1} g, g\right)=\left(A_{0} \cdot 2\right)$
(*) Since $a=\ln f p_{1}(s)$, condition (4.26) implies the inequality $\omega_{2}>0$.

$$
=-\frac{\Delta \mu^{4}}{\Delta \mu}\left(L_{\mu_{1}+\Delta \mu}^{-1} L_{4} L_{\mu_{0}}^{-1} g, g\right)
$$

by $L_{4}$, we denote here the operator which in the left side of system ( 0.1 ) stands with $1^{4}$. The operator $L_{4}$ contains fourth order differentiation of the coordinate $w(s)$. It is self-adjoint and nonnegative for conditions ( 0.3 ). We note also that the operator $L_{4} L_{\mu_{0}}^{-1}$ is bounded. Therefore, going to the limit in (A.2) for $\Delta \mu \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi / d \mu=-4 \mu_{0}^{3}\left(L_{\mu_{0}}^{-1} L_{4} L_{\mu_{0}}^{-1} g, g\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{A,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently $\varphi(\mu)$ decreases monotonically and according to [7] the vector function $L_{\mu}^{-1} g$ converges in the sense of the norm $L_{2}(a, b)$ for $\mu \rightarrow 0$. Now we shall prove ( 0.10 ) It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 0}\left(\left(L_{\mu}^{-1}-L_{0}^{-1}\right) g, h\right)=0 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an everywhere dense set of vectors $h$ in $\mathrm{L}_{2}(a, b)$. Noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{\mu}^{-1}-L_{0}^{-1}\right) g=-\mu^{4} L_{0}^{-1} L_{4} L_{\mu}^{-1} g \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we take $h$ in (A.4) in such a manner that the vector function $L_{0}{ }^{-1} h$ will be sufficiently smooth and will satisfy the boundary conditions ( 0,3 ). Then

$$
\left(\left(L_{\mu}^{-1}-L_{0}^{-1}\right) g, h\right)=-\mu^{4}\left(L_{\mu}^{-1} g, L_{4} L_{0}^{-1} h\right)
$$

and consequently ( A .4 ) is valid.
It remains to establish that the set of such vector functions $h$ is everywhere dense in $\mathrm{L}_{2}(a, b)$. For this we note that for $h=0$ the integral equation (2.6) for $\lambda=0$ has only the trivial solution $w(s) \equiv 0$. Otherwise $\lambda=0$. would be an eigenvalue of the problem ( 0.7 ), ( 0.8 ), which is not valid according to the initial assumption. Therefore the integral operator which is in the left side of (2.6) transforms the everywhere dense in $L_{2}(a, b)$ set of scalar functions into an everywhere dense set. The set of finite (infinitely differentiable) scalar functions $w(s)$ is everywhere dense in $L_{2}(a, b)$.Sets of corresponding functions in the right sides of (2.6) have this property. From this it is now obvious that given arbitrary continuous $h_{1}(s)$ and $h_{2}(s)$, it is always possible to find a function $h_{3}(s)$ from the everywhere dense set in $L_{2}(a, b)$,so that the vector function $L_{0}^{-1} h$ will be sufficiently smooth and will satisfy conditions ( 0.3 ).

The authors are grateful to A. L. Gol'denveizer and P. E. Tovstik for their attention and several discussions.
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# CONSERVATION OF INTEGRALS OF MOTION FOR SMALL CHANGES OF HAMILTON'S FUNCTION IN SOME CASES OF INTEGRABILITY OF THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION OF A GYROSTAT 

PMM Vol. 35, No. 4, 1971, pp. 718-722<br>A. M. KOVALEV<br>(Donetsk)<br>(Received August 31, 1970)

Motion of a gyrostat is considered. The equations of motion are written in the Hamilton form and the change in the integrals of motion in the cases of Zhukovskii and Lagrange resulting from the Hamilton function undergoing small variations is studied.

Let the mechanical system under investigation depend on a set of parameters and let it be integrable for some definite values of these parameters. Study of the motion of this system in the case when the values of the parameters are changed the system is no longer integrable, appears to be of interest. The solution of this problem involves overcoming certain fundamental difficulties connected with the problem of small denominators. In the case when the system is Hamiltonian and the changes in the values of parameters are small, these difficulties have been overcome using the method proposed by Kolmogorov and Arnol'd in [1 and 2].

Arnol'd's solution [3] of the problem of a rapidly rotating, heavy, asymmetric rigid body with a fixed point, serves to illustrate the application of this method to the rigid body dynamics.


[^0]:    (*) The inhomogeneous equation(2.1) is boundedly solvable for a given $i$, if for any smooth right side $h(s)$ it has a smooth solution $f(s)$, satisfying the boundary condition ( 0.7 ) ant also $(f, f) \leqslant C_{0}(h, h)$, where the constant $C_{0}$ is independent of $h$. An analogous statement applies to Eq.(2.6). The spectrum coincides with the set of those values of $\therefore$, for which the bounded solvability is violated.

[^1]:    (*) By $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ in Eq. (3.10) we understand a differential operator which contains the factor $\varepsilon^{2}$. It is possible to show that operators $L_{0}^{-1}(s) L^{(1)}(s)$ and $L_{0}^{-1}(s) O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ are bounded. This makes it possible to apply the theorem on perturbed eigenvalues from the cited book [7].

